



Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards

**Annual Meeting
September 28-29, 2012
New Orleans, LA**

Friday September 28, 2012

Call to Order

Jensen welcomed attendees and called the meeting to order at 9:08 AM CT.

Board of Directors

Present

Kathy Jensen, *President*
Dennis Beye, *Vice President*
Jaime Huffman, *Treasurer*
Kevin Snedden, *Immediate Past President*
Karen Armstrong
Ramona Chance
Phyllis Salyers
Billie Shea

Susan Beam (NC), *nominating committee, chair*

Debra Persinger, *Executive Director*
Sally Hacking, *Director of Government Relations*
Mary O'Reilly, *Member Services Coordinator*
Lorena Haynes, *Continuing Competence Coordinator*
Christine Cunningham, *Examination Coordinator*
Dale Atkinson, *General Counsel*

Delegates

- Lance Gilliland (AL)
- Victoria Bowmann (AZ)
- Linda Treitler (AR)
- Dixie Wall (CA)
- Deann Conroy (CO)
- Sandra Jachimowski (DE)
- Cary Bland (DC)
- Karen Goff Ford (FL)
- Jane Johnson (GA)
- Gayla Nickel (ID)
- Deborah Ellis (IN)
- Cheryl Turner (KY)
- Mary Syvertsen (LA)
- David Cox (MD)

- Bilky Joda-Miller (MI)
- Robert Wilkins - Bob (MS)
- Brandy Mouser (MO)
- Carole Love (MT)
- Kim Adams Johnson (NE)
- Carmen Donegan (NV)
- Kevin Clark (NH)
- Kirstie Segarra (NM)
- Josh Herman (NC)
- Jon Simmons (ND)
- Richard Whitehouse (OH)
- David Fredrickson (OR)
- Robert Jantsch (PA)
- Gregorio Diaz (PR)
- Jan Shaw (SC)
- Laura Woitte (SD)
- Cynthia Jagers (TN)
- Yvonne Feinleib (TX)
- Deborah Richardson-Peter (USVI)
- Laura Williams (WA)
- Joan Wysong (WV)

Jensen facilitated the Delegate roll call. Prior to Jensen confirming that a quorum was established, Jantsch (PA) stood to introduce amendments to the bylaws. It was noted that the proposed amendments needed to be distributed to Delegates in order to proceed.

Delegate Roll Call

To adopt six amendments to the Bylaws as presented.

MOTION

Made by: Jantsch (PA)
Seconded by: Goff Ford (FL)
Vote:

Jantsch (PA) distributed his proposed amendments and stated why he thought the amendments were necessary.

To move the vote on the motion to 1:30 PM CT.

MOTION

Made by: Jantsch (PA)
Seconded by: Clark (NH)
Vote: Motion carries.

To amend the current agenda to allow room for discussion of proposed bylaw amendments.

MOTION

Made by: Jantsch (PA)
Seconded by: Goff Ford (FL)
Vote: Motion carries.

Jantsch (PA) proposed rescheduling the agenda to accommodate consideration of his proposed amendments.

To address the amendments tomorrow so that all Delegates can read and review the material tonight.

MOTION

Made by Joda-Miller (MI)
Seconded by: Goff Ford (FL)
Vote:

Jantsch (PA) again suggested alternate options for rescheduling.

To amend the previous motion to discuss Friday and add to the agenda Saturday morning—before the Government Relations Panel presentation scheduled for 9:10 AM.

MOTION

Made by: Jantsch (PA)
Seconded by: Goff Ford (FL)
Vote: Motion carries.

To adopt Robert’s Rules of Order and adopt formal rules of debate which would allow for two minutes pro and two minutes con.

MOTION

Made by: Wall (CA)
Seconded by: Ellis (IN)
Vote: Motion carries.

It was clarified that anyone can speak within the 2-minute time limit; each person may speak one time for motion and a Delegate may not yield time to another Delegate.

To approve the agenda.

MOTION

Made by: Cox (MD)
Seconded: Syvertsen (LA)
Vote: Motion carries.

Jensen introduced the FSMTB Board of Directors. She reported on the history of FSMTB and annual membership meetings, reviewed the mission, vision, programmatic goals, strategic focus areas, membership status, and urged members to take advantage of the opportunity to attend exam development meetings. Jensen noted that FSMTB regularly attends member board meetings and posed that as the Federation continues to evolve in a positive direction that anything is possible and the members have the power to create the direction.

President’s Report

Huffman provided an overview of FSMTB financial status and addressed topics such as major income sources and expenses over the past year, noting that funds spent are mission focused and directed toward serving member boards; acknowledgement of finance committee and line by line review of budget; investment strategy; receipt of correspondence from North Carolina Board regarding FSMTB

Treasurer’s Report

accepting donations, the response from FSMTB and the FSMTB policy on donations. All supporting documentation was provided in the Annual Meeting Handbook along with policies and information included on the flash drive provided.

Persinger provided an update to members on behalf of the MPA Task Force, noting that the purpose of the Model Act is to provide a resource to state legislatures, boards and agencies when addressing issues related to the public protection mission.

**Model
Practice Act**

Persinger introduced, acknowledged and thanked the Model Practice Act Task Force members and support staff. Persinger reported on Task Force meetings and processes; in addition to numerous telephone conference calls the Task Force is scheduling its fourth face to face meeting. It was noted that the MPA will be released for commentary and Persinger urged the membership to take advantage of their membership rights and provide input. The intention of the Task Force is to look to the future and what will be ideal for the profession. Persinger responded to questions from the membership.

Armstrong and Haynes provided a summary of FSMTB activity regarding exploration of services to member boards pertaining to licensure renewal and responded to questions from members.

**Licensure
Renewal
Requirements**

Armstrong reviewed a timeline from the 2010 Annual Meeting when member boards requested FSMTB to assist with CE renewal through to the 2011 Annual Meeting when delegates voted to proceed with a Task Force.

Armstrong introduced the FSMTB recommendation for licensure renewal:

- At least three of six hours for state-sponsored ethics and professional practice
- The remaining hours could include (but are not limited to): community service, research, certification standards
- The creation of content pertaining to ethics and professional practice would be a function of the FSMTB
- The FSMTB will establish standards for approval of professional development activities
- Ethics and professional practice content will come from member boards; FSMTB will deliver the information to the therapist and track the information to provide back to member boards

The recommendation is specifically designed for regulatory needs, standardizes license renewal requirements, reduces the economic burden on therapists and promotes uniformity for portability of licensure.

Armstrong advised any interested party in joining the committee to fill out the Volunteer Application on the website.

Josh Herman (NC) discussed the resolution proposed by North Carolina.

To accept the resolution presented by North Carolina.

MOTION

Made by: Jantsch (PA)
Seconded by: No second, motion fails.

The FSMTB shall move forward with the licensure renewal program recommendation.

MOTION

Made by: Jantsch (PA)
Seconded by: Cox (MD)
Vote: Motion carries.
FL, GA and MS opposed. OR
abstained.

Armstrong indicated that the next phase would be to form a committee to move forward and design the ethics and professional practice component. The committee is essential in order to move forward.

MBLEx

**MBLEx
Report**

Snedden provided an overview and update of issues pertaining to the Massage & Bodywork Licensing Examination (MBLEx). Topics covered included the exam development committee contributions; candidate volume; special accommodations; fairness review; candidate support materials; pass rates; practitioner mobility; exam translations; and the exam development process.

The FSMTB member boards and agencies have direct involvement in all aspects of the exam program including accessibility to information, exam fees, policy settings, development, etc. Snedden suggested that delegates/Boards can attend EDC meetings, attend test plan meeting, attend standard setting meeting, encourage item writers, recommend subject matter experts in their State for the EDC, and volunteer for the FSMTB exam committee. He directed interested parties to fill out the Volunteer Application on the website.

Job Task Analysis

Donna Surges Tatum, PhD, CAE, FSMTB lead psychometrician, presented some preliminary results from the 2012 Job Task Analysis and Entry Level Educational Analysis Survey.

The Job Task Analysis (JTA) is a survey used to collect data that looks at the frequency of tasks performed in practice and the importance. Literature reviews are conducted, there are curriculum reviews and structured interviews take place before the survey is launched.

Surges Tatum reported that the 2012 JTA had 6,759 respondents. The type of questions included demographics, opinions on education and industry, tasks and employment. Data were outlined regarding general demographics, educational attainment, practice locations, types of practice, and CEU hours required per year. Of those that completed the

JTA, 90% agreed that their education prepared them to practice and 80% agreed that there should be a minimum of 500 hours of formal education required. Eighty eight percent of respondents indicated that licensing should be required across the nation.

Respondents ranked the following as the most important tasks performed in practice:

1. Maintain Confidentiality
2. Ethical relationships
3. Draping - proper draping procedures
4. Operating in scope
5. Identifying sexual misconduct
6. Sanitary procedures
7. Identify ethical violations
8. Reporting requirements
9. Communicating professional limits
10. Use professional judgment

A JTA is conducted every five years because practice can change. The JTA provides the basis for a fair and appropriate test plan. The FSMTB encourages participation in the process from members.

Susan Beam outlined the process of the selection of candidates. The candidates are nominated by member boards, an association or self-nomination. Emails are sent to nominated candidates to notify of nomination. The Nominating Committee reviews applications, resumes, and references. Once the initial review is complete, a questionnaire is sent to each applicant. The deliberations of the committee are confidential. The committee looked at how well the applicant understands the mission, leadership experience, visionary thinking, qualities of loyalty, commitment, dedication, etc. The committee selected candidates with skills and knowledge that will expand the mission of the Federation.

Slate of Candidates

Beam, chair of nominating committee, presented the slate of candidates for three positions to serve a 3 year term:

- Marcela Collins (TN)
- Lance Gilliland (AL)
- Kim Adams Johnson (NE) - *withdrew for personal reasons*
- Dixie Wall (CA)
- Laura Woitte (SD) - *withdrew for personal reasons*

Each candidate responded to questions prepared by the nominating committee.

Beye invited Delegates to comment on achievements and challenges the Boards are facing, to help identify how FSMTB can best serve members.

Delegate Reports

Topics identified included complaint cases, moratoria on rule making, need for rules and regulations, budgetary constraints, partnering with law enforcement, verification of education, online licensure applications, examination issues, overlapping scopes of practice, insurance issues, human trafficking, prostitution, display of a license, unlicensed practice, licensure renewal, enforcement challenges, regulating massage establishments, legislative authority, limited resources, licensure portability, prostitution, establishment regulation, lack of inspectors, background checks, advertising, reciprocity, consolidation of regulatory boards, board functions, fraudulent transcripts, exemptions, use of electrical stimulation devices, declining numbers of schools, students with felonies seeking licensure, legislative language, deregulation of massage therapy, lack of legislative understanding and support, failed legislation, staff resources and turnover, lack of board appointments and quorum, sexual assault cases and media attention, portability for military families, and budgetary constraints.

Meeting recessed at 5:03 PM CT.

**Meeting
Recessed**

Saturday, September 29, 2012

**Meeting
Reconvened**

Meeting reconvened at 9:09 AM CT.

Delegate Roll Call

**Delegate Roll
Call**

- Lance Gilliland (AL)
- Victoria Bowmann (AZ)
- Linda Treitler (AR)
- Dixie Wall (CA)
- Deann Conroy (CO)
- Sandra Jachimowski (DE)
- Cary Bland (DC)
- Karen Goff Ford (FL)
- Jane Johnson (GA)
- Gayla Nickel (ID)
- Deborah Ellis (IN)
- Cheryl Turner (KY)
- Mary Syvertsen (LA)
- David Cox (MD)
- Bilky Joda-Miller (MI)
- Robert Wilkins (MS)
- Brandy Mouser (MO)
- Carole Love (MT)
- Kim Adams Johnson (NE)
- Kevin Clark (NH)
- Kirstie Segarra (NM)
- Josh Herman (NC)
- Jon Simmons (ND)
- Richard Whitehouse (OH)

- David Fredrickson (OR)
- Robert Jantsch (PA)
- Gregorio Diaz (PR)
- Jan Shaw (SC)
- Laura Woitte (SD)
- Cynthia Jagers (TN)
- Yvonne Feinleib (TX)
- Deborah Richardson-Peter (VI)
- Laura Williams (WA)
- Joan Wysong (WV)

Carmen Donegan (NV) was absent from roll call.

In order to facilitate informed decisionmaking, Jensen asked Snedden to provide the Delegates with a historical recap of bylaws amendments and corresponding rationale for each amendment. Snedden emphasized the importance that all delegates operate from the same platform of knowledge, as several first-time delegates are in attendance. He then summarized the background of the Bylaws and confirmed that bylaws can only be changed by Member Boards as they have utmost authority.

**Bylaws
Amendments**

Atkinson provided guidelines for discussion and summarized rules of debate to ensure an orderly process.

Jensen recommended to the Delegates to bundle all amendments together for discussion.

To consider each amendment separately rather than bundle everything together.

MOTION

Made by: Jantsch
Seconded by: Goff Ford
Vote:

Discussion ensued regarding the appropriateness of the introduction of the proposed amendments.

To call the question and vote on addressing each bylaw amendment separately.

MOTION

Made by: Nickel (ID)
Seconded by: Cox (MD)
Vote: Motion carries.

Amendment 1

Jantsch's (PA) proposed amendment (proposed text is underlined; text to be removed is struck through):

**Bylaws
Amendment
1**

Article III. Membership.
Section 1. Membership Categories.

- A. Member Board is a jurisdictional agency authorized by statute to regulate the practice of massage therapy, or, as determined by the jurisdictional agency, acts in an advisory capacity to such jurisdictional agency, whether by licensure, certification or registration, in any of the States of the United States of America, or the District of Columbia, or its insular territories, and that has been granted membership in the Federation.
- B. Associate Members include all of the following:
 - 1. Current and legally serving members of a Member Board and individuals who have served on a Member Board within the last 24 months.
 - 2. Chief administrative officers and key staff members of Member Boards.
 - 3. ~~Delegates designated by Member Boards.~~

Vote to adopt Amendment 1: Motion failed.

10 voted in favor (FL, GA, ID, OH, PA, NH, ND, SD, TN, TX), 21 opposed, 2 abstained (MI, OR).

Amendment 2

**Bylaws
Amendment
2**

Article V. Meetings.

Section 5. Nomination and Election of Officers and Directors.

- A. The Nominating Committee shall seek nominations from Member Boards and shall present a slate of qualified candidates for election at the Annual Meeting. Persons seeking nomination or wishing to suggest nominees must contact the Nominating Committee prior to the Annual Meeting to submit a volunteer application and other information the committee may request. ~~Nominations will not be accepted~~ may also be made from the floor during the Annual Meeting. No name shall be placed into nomination without the consent of the nominee.
- B. Elections shall be conducted by ballot at the Annual Meeting:
 - 1. Directors, Officers and Nominating Committee members shall be elected by a majority vote of the Delegate Assembly.
 - 2. ~~Officers shall be elected by the Board of Directors immediately after the Annual Meeting.~~
 - 3. 2. If a candidate does not receive a majority vote on the first ballot, reballoting shall be limited to the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes for that position.

Jantsch (PA) called a point of order and withdrew his motion for Item 2 Amendment, as he was confused with the two amendments.

To split the two issues into separate votes because they are very different:

MOTION

Nominations from the floor

Officers elected by Board of Directors or delegates

Made by: Wall (CA)
Seconded by: Feinleib (TX)
Vote: Motion carries.

Amendment 2A

**Bylaws
Amendment
2A**

- A. The Nominating Committee shall seek nominations from Member Boards and shall present a slate of qualified candidates for election at the Annual Meeting. Persons seeking nomination or wishing to suggest nominees must contact the Nominating Committee prior to the Annual Meeting to submit a volunteer application and other information the committee may request. Nominations ~~will not be accepted~~ may also be made from the floor during the Annual Meeting. No name shall be placed into nomination without the consent of the nominee.

Vote to adopt Amendment 2A: Motion failed.

10 votes in favor (DC, FL, GA, ID, MD, MS, NH, PA, TX, VI); 18 opposed; 5 abstained (CA, IN, NM, OR)

Nickel (ID) called a point of order. She requested a matter of policy explained: is it appropriate for Board members to be part of debate?

Clarification

Jensen responded in the affirmative as Board members are Federation members.

Amendment 2B

**Bylaws
Amendment
2B**

- A. Elections shall be conducted by ballot at the Annual Meeting:
1. Directors, Officers and Nominating Committee members shall be elected by a majority vote of the Delegate Assembly.
 - ~~2. Officers shall be elected by the Board of Directors immediately after the Annual Meeting.~~
 3. 2. If a candidate does not receive a majority vote on the first ballot, reballoting shall be limited to the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes for that position.

Vote to adopt Amendment 2B: Motion failed.

1 in favor (PA); 27 opposed; 4 abstained (IN, MI, OR, PR)

Amendment 3

**Bylaws
Amendment
3**

Section 4. Duties.

Members of the BOD shall perform the duties prescribed by these Bylaws, and the policies and procedures established by the BOD. Specific responsibilities for Officers of the Federation are set forth as

follows:

A. **President:** Shall preside at all meetings of the Delegate Assembly and the Board of Directors, serve as a spokesperson for the Federation, appoint members and chairs of Standing Committees and Special Committees subject to the approval of the Board of Directors, and assume all powers and duties customarily incident to the office of President.

B. **Vice President:** Shall assist the President, perform the duties of the President in the President's absence, and fill any vacancy in the office of President until the next Annual Meeting.

C. **Treasurer:** Shall serve as the chair of the Finance Committee, and shall oversee the financial affairs of the Federation in conjunction with the Executive Director., ~~and shall assure that quarterly financial reports are presented to the BOD and that annual financial reports are provided to the Delegate Assembly.~~

Vote to adopt Amendment 3: Motion fails.

1 in favor (PA); 26 opposed; 6 abstained (IN, NC, NH, OR, TX)

Amendment 4

**Bylaws
Amendment
4**

Section 6. Term of Office.

A. ~~All~~ Directors and Officers shall:

1. Be elected for a term of ~~three~~ two years, beginning 2012, or until their successors are elected.
2. Not serve more than ~~nine~~ six consecutive years on the Board of Directors.
3. Not be eligible for election to the Board of Directors or appointment to fill an open seat on the Board of Directors for at least one year after leaving the Board.

B. Directors shall assume office at the close of the Annual Meeting at which they are elected.

C. ~~In 2011, two board members will be elected for two years, and two will be elected for three years.~~ An Officer may not serve more than two consecutive terms in the office.

Vote to adopt Amendment 4: Motion fails.

4 in favor (FL, GA, ID, PA); 25 opposed; 4 abstained.

Amendment 5

**Bylaws
Amendment
5**

Section 2. Amendment proposals.

Amendments may be proposed by any Officer, Director, ~~Delegate, or Alternate Delegate~~ or Member Board. Such proposals shall be submitted in writing to the Federation office at least 90 days before a meeting of the Delegate Assembly where this amendment is to be considered.

Vote to adopt Amendment 5: Motion fails.

17 in favor (AR, CA, CO, DE, DC, FL, GA, ID, IN, KY, MI, MS, OH, PR, PA, SD, VI) ; 9 opposed (AL, AZ, LA, MD, MO, MT, ND, WA,

WV); 8 abstained (NE, NM, NH, ND, OR, SC, TN, TX).

Jantsch (PA) declared a point of order and noted that he believes abstentions go with majority so the count was 25 to 9. The motion still failed but he wanted it noted.

**Voting
Clarification**

Amendment 6

Proposal is to remove **Article XIII. Initial Terms of Board of Directors.**

**Bylaws
Amendment
6**

Vote to adopt Amendment 6: Motion fails.

21 in favor; 0 opposed; 11 abstentions (AL, AZ, DE, DC, MI, MO, MT, NC, NV, NH, PR).

Jantsch (PA) – point of order – If the abstentions are included with the majority and 32 votes are required, how does that not pass?

**Voting
Clarification**

Atkinson stated that abstentions do not count as affirmative and affirmative votes are required to pass.

Hacking provided an update on some FSMTB government relations activities, including the 24/7 tracking system for members, funded by the FSMTB. When meeting with State Boards, she often uses the phrase: We are you; you are we. Newer boards don't necessarily understand the relationship between themselves and the FSMTB so she continually educates members about the Federation and their membership benefits.

**Government
Relations**

Atkinson moderated a Government Relations panel. He stated that the Government Relations Panel is intended to provide discussion from panel members and encouraged Delegates to participate in dialog. The panel included:

- Rick Whitehouse, *Executive Director*, Ohio State Medical Board
- Marcela Collins, *Former Chair*, Tennessee Massage Licensure Board
- Ahmos Netanel, *CEO*, California Massage Therapy Council

Discussion topics included experiences with rulemaking, using MBLEx as the exclusive exam for licensure, inconsistencies in law, lobbying, mandatory licensing, scope of practice, relationships with legislators, Board authority, public protection, and the value of model practice acts.

Beye reported that the Massage Therapy Licensing Database (MTLD) will be a source of applicant and license information to assist boards/agencies with their regulatory mission. The FSMTB convened a database task force which met in Nashville in May 2012 to begin development of a prototype.

**Massage
Therapy
Licensing
Database**

Persinger reiterated thanks to the Task Force and shared some sample screen shots resulting from the Task Force work thus far. Persinger noted that the Federation wants input from members as the process evolves. States will dictate what information this database will contain; it is customizable - depending on what information can/cannot be divulged. It is proposed that state board administrators would have a customized login. An electronic survey will be distributed for member input. Persinger responded to questions from members.

Regarding fraudulent licensure applications, Jusevitch (FL) provided information regarding the recent emergency suspensions of licensure in Florida. Florida utilized the Federation to issue an email blast to all state boards.

To accept the candidates that are on the ballot as being elected by proclamation. **MOTION**

Made by: Jantsch (PA)
Seconded by: Woitte (SD)
Vote: Motion fails.
In favor: LA, KY, MO, PR, PA, SD

Jensen and Atkinson responded to questions regarding procedural matters. **Election**

Jensen announced the election results. Those elected to serve on the FSMTB board of directors were: **Board of Directors Election Results**

- Marcela Collins – TN
- Lance Gilliland – AL
- Dixie Wall – CA

Beam provided information about the nominating committee election process and committee responsibilities. **Nominating Committee Election**

Nominations for Nominating Committee

- Karen Goff Ford (FL) nominated Phyllis Salyers (BOD); nomination accepted.
- Ahmos Netanel (CA) nominated Beverly May (CA); nomination accepted.
- David Cox (MD) nominated Bilky Joda-Miller (MI); nomination accepted.
- Ramona Chance (BOD) nominated Cheryl Turner (KY); nomination accepted.
- Karen Goff Ford (FL) nominated Bob Jantsch (PA); nomination accepted.
- Linda Treitler (AR) nominated Victoria Bowmann (AZ); nomination accepted.
- Phyllis Salyers (BOD) nominated Jane Johnson (GA);

- nomination declined.
- Victoria Bowmann (AZ) nominated Linda Treitler (AR); nomination accepted.
- Jaime Huffman (BOD) nominated Jan Shaw (SC); nomination accepted.
- Gregorio Diaz (PR) nominated Cary Bland (DC); nomination declined.

Jensen questioned if everyone was eligible, and if everyone accepted the nomination. Eligibility was confirmed for all nominees.

Dale Atkinson, FSMTB legal counsel and executive director of the Federation of Associations of Regulatory Boards (FARB) provided information on a variety of issues pertaining to regulatory boards.

**Legal and
Regulatory
Issues**

Topics included Examination Issues and the benefits of state-owned exams - the obligation on the part of member boards to protect the exam program, legal defensibility, publicizing exam results, special accommodations, re-entry to practice by examination, exam security; Conflicts of Interest; responsibilities of the FSMTB to parallel the state boards' goals of consumer and public protection and regulation; voluntary certification and mandatory licensure; the FSMTB's support of member boards; eligibility for licensure; good moral character; denial and revocation of a license; immigration status; and uniformity in decisions and actions. Board immunity, scope of practice in the law, deregulation and sunset. Purpose of licensure - legally defensible, participation and control; types of licensure: governmental/public/mandatory versus certification/private/voluntary and FSMTB's regulatory position and role wherein the charitable status lessens the burden on state government.

Atkinson responded to member questions and comments.

Election ballots for the nominating committee positions were handed out.

Election

Persinger provided information pertaining to social media and the massage profession and identified some obscure jurisdictional laws.

**Regulation in
the News**

The following candidates were elected by the membership to serve on the 2013 nominating committee:

- Victoria Bowmann – AZ
- Bob Jantsch – PA
- Phyllis Salyers – TN

**Nominating
Committee
Election
Results**

During a structured open Q & A session facilitated by Jensen, the following topics were addressed at the request of Delegates:

**Delegate
Forum**

1. Unlicensed practice, investigations and budgetary constraints
2. Sanctions
3. Public perception of regulatory agencies
4. Offering MBLEx in languages other than English and Spanish

- 5. Liability insurance
- 6. Regulatory certification and licensure
- 7. Board immunity
- 8. School verification

To have meeting materials made available in advance, preferably two weeks before the annual meeting. **MOTION**

Made by: Goff Ford (FL)
Seconded by: Nickel (ID)
Vote:

Gayla Nickel (ID) indicated an amended motion was needed in order to be clear about timeline expectations.

Jantsch (PA) offered a friendly amendment and asked if it was acceptable to the maker of the motion and the second. Both accepted the revision.

Available materials be made available to the Delegates at least two weeks prior to the meeting. **REVISED MOTION**

Made by: Goff Ford (FL)
Seconded by: Nickel (ID)
Vote: Motion carries.

To have written policies of nominating meeting available two weeks prior for transparency sake. **MOTION**

Made by: Goff Ford (FL)
Seconded by: Cox (MD)
Vote: Motion carries.

For Board of Director’s meeting minutes to be provided to Delegates after they are approved. **MOTION**

Made by: Johnson (GA)
Seconded by: Jantsch (PA) with friendly amendment to add “to the member boards”

For Board of Director’s meeting minutes to be provided to the member boards after they are approved. **REVISED MOTION**

Made by: Johnson (GA)
Seconded by: Jantsch (PA)
Vote: Motion carries.

Minutes should be read and approved at the following year’s annual meeting. **MOTION**

Made by: Nickel (ID)
Seconded by: No second, motion dies.

Persinger indicated that the Delegates would likely not be the same the following year and therefore unable to confirm a reflective record of the meeting.

Goff Ford (FL) seconded the motion; however a motion cannot be seconded once a motion dies.

For the Board of Directors to approve annual meeting minutes and make available once approved. MOTION

Made by: Goff Ford (FL)
Seconded by: Cox (MD)
Vote: Motion carries.

To adopt formal rules of debate for next year's annual meeting. MOTION

Made by: Wall (CA)
Seconded by: Goff Ford (FL)
Vote: Motion carries.
21 in favor; 6 opposed (TX, PR, PA, MD, MS); 5 abstentions

Ramifications and procedures for rules of debate were discussed.

The 2013 meeting will be held October 10-12 in Baltimore, Maryland.
The 2014 meeting will be held October 2-4 in Tucson, Arizona.

**2013 and
2014 Annual
Meeting
Locations**

Jensen adjourned the meeting at 5:17PM CT. Adjournment